

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO), I	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,843	•	01/07/2002	James Samsoondar	31773-CIP1	3741
23589	7590	04/13/2006	EXAMINER		INER
HOVEY			LIN, JERRY		
2405 GRAND BLVD., SUITE 400 KANSAS CITY, MO 64108				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1631	
				DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 1' - 4' 11 -				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Aution Occurrence	10/040,843	SAMSOONDAR, JAMES			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jerry Lin	1631			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Fee</u> This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-18,20,22 and 29-40 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-18,20,22 and 29-40 is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer and the correction is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 20, 2005 has been entered.

Status of the Claims

Claims 12-18, 20, 22, 29-40 are under examination.

Consideration of Arguments

2. Applicants' arguments and amendments, filed February 2, 2006, have been fully considered and they are deemed to be persuasive. In light of the amendments to the claims, the following rejections and/or objections are newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/040,843

Art Unit: 1631

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 12, 13, 15-17, 20, 22, 29-35, and 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Megevand et al. (Experimental & Applied Acrology (1993) Volume 17, pages 115-128) in view of Bjornson et al (US 5,206,568).

The instant claims are drawn to a method of aspirating fluid into a tip with two open ends, sealing one end after fluid is drawn into the tip, and using the sealed tip as a reservoir for further dispensing or mixing.

Regarding claims 12, 29, and 30-33, Megevand et al. teach a method of aspirating material into a dispensing tip with two open ends and sealing one end after material is aspirated into the dispensing tip (page 120, 3rd paragraph from the top). Megevand et al. also teach sealing the tip with paraffin, which would require Megevand et al. to press (i.e., compress or plug) the paraffin onto the opening of the tip.

However Megevand et al. do not teach inserting a second dispensing tip through the open first end of the sealed tip and aspirating fluid from that end, nor do they teach dispensing a diluent or reagent in the first tip from a second tip to form a mixture.

Regarding claims 12, 29 and 30-33, Bjornson et al. teach a method of aspirating a fluid into a reservoir (column 13, lines 13-30; column 21, lines 50-61; column 22, lines 31-46; column 5, lines 50-68); inserting a second dispensing tip in said sample reservoir and aspirating a portion or all of said fluid from said sample reservoir into said second dispensing tip (column 10, lines 1-44); or withdrawing a reagent into a second dispensing tip and dispensing the reagent through the first end of the sample reservoir

Application/Control Number: 10/040,843

Art Unit: 1631

to form a mixture (column 10, lines 1-44; column 22, lines 31-46; column 24, line 8-column 26, line 54).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the methods of Megevand et al. and Bjornson et al. to gain the advantage of minimizing transfer loss. Megevand et al. teach a method that allows the practitioner to aspirate material directly into a reservoir with having to transfer that material into another receptacle. Since Megevand et al. eliminates the need for a transfer step, the transfer loss is minimized. Such a method would be advantage for working with small quantities of fluid. Bjornson et al.'s method is designed to work with small quantities of fluid typically used in analytical chemistry (Bjornson et al., abstract). Furthermore, Bjornson et al. teach that their method may be used with any fluid receptacle (Bjornson et al., column 5, lines 50-68). Thus one of ordinary skill in the art using Bjornson et al.'s method would be motivated to also use Megevand et al.'s method to minimize the transfer loss of fluids.

Regarding claims 13, 15, 18, and 36, Megevand et al. teach sealing the tip with paraffin, which would require Megevand et al. to press (i.e., compress or plug) the paraffin onto the opening of the tip, as well as move (displace) the material inside the tip away from the sealable end.

Regarding claims 16, 17, 20, 22, 34, 35, and 37-40, Bjornson et al. also teach wherein the steps are preformed by a chemistry analyzer apparatus (Abstract); where the steps are manually performed (column 1, lines 30 – 54); wherein the second dispensing tip is sized to reach the second end of the reservoir (column 35, lines 3-40);

Art Unit: 1631

wherein the step of withdrawing is followed by removing the mixture into the second dispensing tip and dispensing the mixture into the reservoir which is repeated (column 35, lines 3-40).

5. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Megevand et al. (Experimental & Applied Acrology (1993) Volume 17, pages 115-128) in view of Bjornson et al. (US 5,206,568) further in view of in view of Ebersol et al. (US 5,578,460).

Megevand et al. and Bjornson et al. are applied as above.

Neither Megevand et al. or Bjornson et al. teaching sealing the tip by heat.

Regarding claim 14, Ebersole et al. teach method where a dispensing tip with a first and second end, wherein the second end is sealed with heat and defines a sample reservoir (column 24, line 64-column 25, line 18).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the references of Megevand et al., Bjornson et al., and Ebersole et al. to gain the advantage of a permanent seal of the first tip. The motivation to combine Megevand et al. and Bjornson et al. is applied as above. Although Megevand et al. teach a method of sealing the tip with paraffin, their method is intended to only be a temporary seal. Such a seal would not be sufficient for experiments that require vigorous shaking or centrifuging. Thus one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to find a permanent seal. Ebersole et al. teach a method of creating a permanent seal by melting the end of the tip. Thus one of ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 1631

seeking to conduct chemical analysis using Bjornson et al.'s apparatus, minimizing transfer loss, and creating a permanent seal would be motivated to combine the methods of Megevand et al., Bjornson et al., and Ebersole et al.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry Lin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2561. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00am-6:30pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center at (800) 786-9199.

MICHAEL BORIN, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mana

JL